arXiv:1405.5277v1 [math.FA] 21 May 2014

Riesz transform characterization of weighted Hardy spaces associated to Schrödinger operators

Hua Zhu

Abstract. In this paper, we characterize the weighted local Hardy spaces $h^p_\rho(\omega)$ related to the critical radius function ρ and weights $\omega \in A_1^{\rho,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by localized Riesz transforms $\widehat{R}_j$, in addition, we give a characterization of weighted Hardy spaces $H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)$ via Riesz tranforms associated to Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{L}$, where $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta + V$ is a Schrödinger operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$ $(n \geq 3)$ and V is a nonnegative function satisfying the reverse Hölder inequality.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta + V$ be a Schrödinger operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, where $V \not\equiv 0$ is a fixed non-negative potential. We assume that V belongs to the reverse Hölder class $RH_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $s \geq n/2$; that is, there exists $C = C(s, V) > 0$ such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B V(x)^s\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq C\left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B V(x)\,dx\right), \tag{1.1}$$

for every ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Trivially, $RH_q(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset RH_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ provided $1 < p \leq q < \infty$. It is well known that, if $V \in RH_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $q > 1$, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, which depends only on d and the constant C in (1.1), such that $V \in RH_{q+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [13]). Moreover, the measure $V(x)\,dx$ satisfies the doubling condition:

$$\int_{B(y,2r)} V(x)\,dx \leq C\int_{B(y,r)} V(x)\,dx.$$

With regard to the Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{L}$, we know that the operators derived from $\mathcal{L}$ behave "locally" quite similar to those corresponding to the Laplacian (see [6, 20]). The notion of locality is given by the critical radius function

$$\rho(x) = \frac{1}{m_V(x)} = \sup_{r>0}\left\{r:\ \frac{1}{r^{n-2}}\int_{B(x,r)} V(y)dy \leq 1\right\}. \tag{1.2}$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 42B30; Secondary 42B25, 42B20.

Throughout the paper we assume that $V \not\equiv 0$, so that $0 < \rho(x) < \infty$ (see [20]). In particular, $m_V(x) = 1$ with $V = 1$ and $m_V(x) \sim (1 + |x|)$ with $V = |x|^2$.

The study of schrödinger operator $\mathcal{L} = -\triangle + V$ recently attracted much attention; see [2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 16, 20, 25, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31]. In particularly, J. Dziubański and J. Zienkiewicz [7, 8] studied Hardy space $H^1_{\mathcal{L}}$ associated to Schrödinger operators $\mathcal{L}$ with potential satisfying reverse Hölder inequality. In [16], we introduce the weighted Hardy space $H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)$ with $\omega \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (Muckenhoupt's weights, see [5, 12, 11, 21, 22]), and in [31], we obtain some weighted estimates for bilinear operators on $H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)$.

Recently, Bongioanni, etc. [2] introduced new classes of weights, related to Schrödinger operators $\mathcal{L}$, that is, $A_p^{\rho,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ weight which are in general larger than Muckenhoupt's (see Section 2 for notions of $A_p^{\rho,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ weight). In [24, 25, 26], Tang gave some results about weighted norm inequalities with weights $\omega \in A_p^{\rho,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In [32], we have obtained a atomic characterization for weighted Hardy space $H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)$ with $\omega \in A_1^{\rho,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by introducing the weighted local Hardy spaces $h^p_\rho(\omega)$ with $A_q^{\rho,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ weights and establishing the atomic characterization of the weighted local Hardy spaces $h^p_\rho(\omega)$ with $\omega \in A_q^{\rho,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ weights.

The purpose of this paper is to give a characterization of weighted Hardy spaces $H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)$ with $\omega \in A_1^{\rho,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ via Riesz transforms associated to Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{L}$.

For $j = 1, 2, ..., n$, let us define the Riesz transforms R_j associated to Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{L}$ by $R_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\mathcal{L}^{-1/2}$. In addition, as in [27], for all $j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define localized Riesz transforms as

$$\widehat{R}_j(f)(x) \equiv \text{p.v.}\, c_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{x_j - y_j}{|x-y|^{n+1}} \eta\left(\frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\right) f(y)\, dy, \tag{1.3}$$

where and in what follows, $c_n \equiv \Gamma((n+1)/2)/[\pi^{(n+1)/2}]$, $\eta \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ supported in $(-1, 1)$ and $\eta(t) = 1$ if $|t| \le 1/2$. Then, the main result of this paper is the following theorem. (See Section 2 for definition of $h^1_\rho(\omega)$ and $H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)$.)

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\omega \in A_1^{\rho,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then we have*

$$\|f\|_{H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)} \sim \|f\|_{h^1_\rho(\omega)} \sim \|f\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \|\widehat{R}_j(f)\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|f\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \|R_j(f)\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some notions and notations concerning the weight classes $A_p^{\rho,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ introduced in [2, 25, 26], and we also review some results about $h^1_\rho(\omega)$ and $H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)$. In Section 3, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Throughout this paper, we let C denote constants that are independent of the main parameters involved but whose value may differ from line to line. By $A \sim B$, we mean that there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that $1/C \le A/B \le C$. The symbol $A \lesssim B$ means that

$A \le CB$. The symbol $[s]$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ denotes the maximal integer not more than s. We also set $\mathbb{N} \equiv \{1, 2, \cdots\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_+ \equiv \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. The multi-index notation is usual: for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n)$ and $\partial^\alpha = (\partial/\partial_{x_1})^{\alpha_1} \cdots (\partial/\partial_{x_n})^{\alpha_n}$.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we first review some notions and notations concerning the weight classes $A_p^{\rho,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ introduced in [2, 25, 26]. Given $B = B(x, r)$ and $\lambda > 0$, we will write λB for the λ-dilate ball, which is the ball with the same center x and with radius λr. Similarly, $Q(x, r)$ denotes the cube centered at x with side length r (here and below only cubes with sides parallel to the axes are considered), and $\lambda Q(x, r) = Q(x, \lambda r)$. Especially, we will denote $2B$ by B^*, and $2Q$ by Q^*. The following lemma is a basic property of the critical radius function $\rho(x)$.

Lemma 2.1. (see [20]) *There exist $C_0 \ge 1$ and $k_0 \ge 1$ so that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$*

$$C_0^{-1}\rho(x)\left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\right)^{-k_0} \le \rho(y) \le C_0\rho(x)\left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\right)^{\frac{k_0}{k_0+1}}. \tag{2.1}$$

In particular, $\rho(x) \sim \rho(y)$ when $y \in B(x, r)$ and $r \le C\rho(x)$, where C is a positive constant.

A ball of the form $B(x, \rho(x))$ is called critical, and in what follows we will call critical radius function to any positive continuous function ρ that satisfies (1.2), not necessarily coming from a potential V. Clearly, if ρ is such a function, so it is $\beta\rho$ for any $\beta > 0$.

Now we recall the covering of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the partition of unity related to ρ from [7]. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we defined the sets $\mathcal{B}_m$ by $\mathcal{B}_m = \{x : 2^{-(m+1)/2} < \rho(x) \le 2^{-m/2}\}$, where critical radii $\rho(x)$ have been defined in (2.1). Since $0 < \rho(x) < \infty$, we have $\mathbb{R}^n = \cup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{B}_m$.

Lemma 2.2. (see [7]) *There is a positive constant C and a collection of balls $B_{(m,k)} = B(x_{(m,k)}, 2^{2-m/2})$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k = 1, 2, ...$, such that $x_{(m,k)} \in \mathcal{B}_m$, $\mathcal{B}_m \subset \bigcup_k B(x_{(m,k)}, 2^{-m/2})$, and for every (m, k) and $R \ge 2$*

$$\#\left\{(m', k') : B(x_{(m,k)}, R2^{-m/2}) \cap B(x_{(m',k')}, R2^{-m'/2}) \ne \emptyset\right\} \le R^C,$$

where and in what follows, for any set E, $\#E$ denotes its cardinality.

Lemma 2.3. (see [7]) *There are non-negative functions $\psi_{(m,k)}$ such that*

(i) *for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\psi_{(m,k)} \in C_c^\infty(B(x_{(m,k)}, 2^{1-m/2}))$;*

(ii) *$\sum_{(m,k)} \psi_{(m,k)}(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$;*

(iii) *there exists a positive constant C such that for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\|\nabla \psi_{(m,k)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C 2^m.$$

In this paper, we write $\Psi_\theta(B) = (1 + r/\rho(x_0))^\theta$, where $\theta \geq 0$, x_0 and r denotes the center and radius of B respectively.

A weight always refers to a positive function which is locally integrable. As in [2], we say that a weight ω belongs to the class $A_p^{\rho,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 < p < \infty$, if there is a constant C such that for all balls B

$$\left(\frac{1}{\Psi_\theta(B)|B|}\int_B \omega(y)\,dy\right)\left(\frac{1}{\Psi_\theta(B)|B|}\int_B \omega^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(y)\,dy\right)^{p-1} \leq C.$$

We also say that a nonnegative function ω satisfies the $A_1^{\rho,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ condition if there exists a constant C such that

$$M_{V,\theta}(\omega)(x) \leq C\omega(x),\ a.e.\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

where

$$M_{V,\theta} f(x) \equiv \sup_{x \in B} \frac{1}{\Psi_\theta(B)|B|}\int_B |f(y)|\,dy.$$

When $V = 0$, we denote $M_0 f(x)$ by $Mf(x)$ (the standard Hardy-Littlewood maximal function). It is easy to see that $|f(x)| \leq M_{V,\theta} f(x) \leq Mf(x)$ for $a.e.\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any $\theta \geq 0$.

Clearly, the classes $A_p^{\rho,\theta}$ are increasing with θ, and we denote $A_p^{\rho,\infty} = \bigcup_{\theta \geq 0} A_p^{\rho,\theta}$. By Hölder's inequality, we see that $A_{p_1}^{\rho,\theta} \subset A_{p_2}^{\rho,\theta}$, if $1 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \infty$, and we also denote $A_\infty^{\rho,\infty} = \bigcup_{p \geq 1} A_p^{\rho,\infty}$. In addition, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, denote by p' the adjoint number of p, i.e. $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.

Since $\Psi_\theta(B) \geq 1$ with $\theta \geq 0$, then $A_p \subset A_p^{\rho,\theta}$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$, where A_p denotes the classical Muckenhoupt weights; see [12] and [18]. Moreover, the inclusions are proper. In fact, as the example given in [25], let $\theta > 0$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq \theta$, it is easy to check that $\omega(x) = (1+|x|)^{-(n+\gamma)} \notin A_\infty = \bigcup_{p \geq 1} A_p$ and $\omega(x)dx$ is not a doubling measure, but $\omega(x) = (1 + |x|)^{-(n+\gamma)} \in A_1^{\rho,\theta}$ provided that $V = 1$ and $\Psi_\theta(B(x_0, r)) = (1 + r)^\theta$.

In what follows, given a Lebesgue measurable set E and a weight ω, $|E|$ will denote the Lebesgue measure of E and $\omega(E) := \int_E \omega(x)\,dx$. For any $\omega \in A_\infty^{\rho,\infty}$, the space $L_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p \in (0, \infty)$ denotes the set of all measurable functions f such that

$$\|f\|_{L_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \equiv \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \omega(x)\,dx\right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

and $L_\omega^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The symbol $L_\omega^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the set of all measurable functions f such that

$$\|f\|_{L_\omega^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \equiv \sup_{\lambda > 0} \{\lambda \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n :\ |f(x)| > \lambda\})\} < \infty.$$

We remark that balls can be replaced by cubes in definition of $A_p^{\rho,\theta}$ and $M_{V,\theta}$, since $\Psi(B) \le \Psi(2B) \le 2^\theta \Psi(B)$. In fact, for the cube $Q = Q(x_0, r)$, we can also define $\Psi_\theta(Q) = (1 + r/\rho(x_0))^\theta$. Then we give the weighted boundedness of $M_{V,\theta}$.

Now, we give some properties of weights class $A_p^{\rho,\theta}$ for $p \ge 1$.

Lemma 2.4. (see [2, 26, 32]) *Let $\omega \in A_p^{\rho,\infty} = \bigcup_{\theta\ge 0} A_p^{\rho,\theta}$ for $p \ge 1$. Then*

(i) *If $1 \le p_1 < p_2 < \infty$, then $A_{p_1}^{\rho,\theta} \subset A_{p_2}^{\rho,\theta}$.*

(ii) *$\omega \in A_p^{\rho,\theta}$ if and only if $\omega^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in A_{p'}^{\rho,\theta}$, where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.*

(iii) *If $\omega \in A_p^{\rho,\infty}$, $1 < p < \infty$, then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\omega \in A_{p-\epsilon}^{\rho,\infty}$.*

(iv) *Let $f \in L_{loc}(\rho)$, $0 < \delta < 1$, then $(M_{V,\theta} f)^\delta \in A_1^{\rho,\theta}$.*

(v) *Let $1 < p < \infty$, then $\omega \in A_p^{\rho,\infty}$ if and only if $\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2^{1-p}$, where $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in A_1^{\rho,\infty}$.*

(vi) *For $\omega \in A_p^{\rho,\theta}$, $Q = Q(x, r)$ and $\lambda > 1$, there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\omega(\lambda Q) \le C(\Psi_\theta(\lambda Q))^p \, \lambda^{np} \, \omega(Q).$$

(vii) *If $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $\omega \in A_p^{\rho,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the local Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M^{loc} is bounded on $L_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

(viii) *If $\omega \in A_1^{\rho,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then M^{loc} is bounded from $L_\omega^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L_\omega^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

For any $\omega \in A_\infty^{\rho,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, define the critical index of ω by

$$q_\omega \equiv \inf\left\{p \in [1, \infty) : \, \omega \in A_p^{\rho,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Obviously, $q_\omega \in [1, \infty)$. If $q_\omega \in (1, \infty)$, then $\omega \notin A_{q_\omega}^{\rho,\infty}$.

The symbols $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) = C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the dual space of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and for $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let $\varphi_t(x) = t^{-n}\varphi\left(x/t\right)$ for $t > 0$ and $\varphi_j(x) = 2^{jn}\varphi\left(2^j x\right)$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to see that we have the following results.

Next let us introduce the space $H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(\omega)$.

Let $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ be the semigroup of linear operators generated by $\mathcal{L}$ and $T_t(x, y)$ be their kernels, that is,

$$T_t f(x) = e^{-t\mathcal{L}} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} T_t(x, y) f(y) \, dy, \qquad \text{for } t > 0 \text{ and } f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{2.3}$$

Since V is non-negative the Feynman-Kac formula implies that

$$0 \le T_t(x, y) \le \widetilde{T}_t(x, y) \equiv (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x - y|^2}{4t}\right). \tag{2.4}$$

Obviously, by (2.4) the maximal operator

$$\mathcal{T}^*f(x)=\sup_{t>0}|T_tf(x)|$$

is of weak-type (1,1). A weighted Hardy-type space related to $\mathcal{L}$ with $A_1^{\rho,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ weights is naturally defined by:

$$H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)\equiv\{f\in L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n):\mathcal{T}^*f(x)\in L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)\},\qquad\text{with}\quad \|f\|_{H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)}\equiv\|\mathcal{T}^*f\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{2.5}$$

The $H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)$ with $\omega\in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has been studied in [16, 31], and we have following theorem.

Theorem A. (see [16]) *If $\omega\in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $V\in RH_n(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a non-negative potential, then there is a constant $C>0$ such that for all $f\in H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)$*

$$C^{-1}\|f\|_{H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)}\le\|f\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\sum_{j=1}^n\|R_jf\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le C\|f\|_{H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)}.$$

Then let us recall some results about weighted local Hardy spaces $h^p_\rho(\omega)$.

We first introduce some local maximal functions. For $N\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ and $R\in(0,\infty)$, let

$$\mathcal{D}_{N,\,R}(\mathbb{R}^n)\equiv\left\{\varphi\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n):\quad \operatorname{supp}(\varphi)\subset B(0,R),\right.$$
$$\left.\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}_N(\mathbb{R}^n)}\equiv\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n_+,\,|\alpha|\le N}|\partial^\alpha\varphi(x)|\le1\right\}.$$

Definition 2.1. *Let $N\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ and $R\in(0,\infty)$. For any $f\in\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the local nontangential grand maximal function $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{N,\,R}(f)$ of f is defined by setting, for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$,*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{N,\,R}(f)(x)\equiv\sup\left\{|\varphi_l*f(z)|:\,|x-z|<2^{-l}<\rho(x),\,\varphi\in\mathcal{D}_{N,\,R}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right\}, \tag{2.6}$$

and the local vertical grand maximal function $\mathcal{M}_{N,\,R}(f)$ of f is defined by setting, for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathcal{M}_{N,\,R}(f)(x)\equiv\sup\left\{|\varphi_l*f(x)|:\,0<2^{-l}<\rho(x),\,\varphi\in\mathcal{D}_{N,\,R}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right\}, \tag{2.7}$$

For convenience's sake, when $R=1$, we denote $\mathcal{D}_{N,\,R}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{N,\,R}(f)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{N,\,R}(f)$ simply by $\mathcal{D}^0_N(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^0_N(f)$ and $\mathcal{M}^0_N(f)$, respectively; when $R=\max\{R_1,\ R_2,\ R_3\}>1$ (where R_1, R_2 and R_3 are defined as in Lemma 4.2, 4.4 and 4.8 in [32]), we denote $\mathcal{D}_{N,\,R}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{N,\,R}(f)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{N,\,R}(f)$ simply by $\mathcal{D}_N(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_N(f)$ and $\mathcal{M}_N(f)$, respectively. For any $N\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ and $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, obviously,

$$\mathcal{M}^0_N(f)(x)\le\mathcal{M}_N(f)(x)\le\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_N(f)(x).$$

Now we introduce the weighted local Hardy space via the local grand maximal function as follows.

Definition 2.2. *Let $\omega \in A_\infty^{\rho,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, q_ω be as in (2.2), $p \in (0,1]$ and $\widetilde{N}_{p,\omega} \equiv [n(\frac{q_\omega}{p} - 1)] + 2$. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N \geq \widetilde{N}_{p,\omega}$, the weighted local Hardy space is defined by*

$$h^p_{\rho,\,N}(\omega) \equiv \left\{ f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \ \mathcal{M}_N(f) \in L^p_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}.$$

Moreover, let $\|f\|_{h^p_{\rho,\,N}(\omega)} \equiv \|\mathcal{M}_N(f)\|_{L^p_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Obviously, for any integers N_1 and N_2 with $N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \widetilde{N}_{p,\omega}$,

$$h^p_{\rho,\,\widetilde{N}_{p,\omega}}(\omega) \subset h^p_{\rho,\,N_2}(\omega) \subset h^p_{\rho,\,N_1}(\omega),$$

and the inclusions are continuous.

Next, we introduce some local vertical, tangential and nontangential maximal functions, and then we establish the characterizations of the weighted local Hardy space $h^p_{\rho,\,N}(\omega)$ by these local maximal functions.

Definition 2.3. *Let*

$$\psi_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ with } \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_0(x)\,dx \neq 0. \tag{2.8}$$

For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists an integer $j_x \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $2^{-j_x} < \rho(x) \leq 2^{-j_x+1}$, and then for $j \geq j_x$, A, $B \in [0,\infty)$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $m_{j,\,A,\,B,\,x}(y) \equiv (1 + 2^j|y|)^A 2^{B|y|/\rho(x)}$.

The local vertical maximal function $\psi_0^+(f)$ of f associated to ψ_0 is defined by setting, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\psi_0^+(f)(x) \equiv \sup_{j \geq j_x} |(\psi_0)_j * f(x)|, \tag{2.9}$$

the local tangential Peetre-type maximal function $\psi^{**}_{0,\,A,\,B}(f)$ of f associated to ψ_0 is defined by setting, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\psi^{**}_{0,\,A,\,B}(f)(x) \equiv \sup_{j \geq j_x,\, y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|(\psi_0)_j * f(x-y)|}{m_{j,\,A,\,B,\,x}(y)}, \tag{2.10}$$

and the local nontangential maximal function $(\psi_0)^*_\nabla(f)$ of f associated to ψ_0 is defined by setting, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(\psi_0)^*_\nabla(f)(x) \equiv \sup_{|x-y|<2^{-l}<\rho(x)} |(\psi_0)_l * f(y)|, \tag{2.11}$$

where $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Obviously, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\psi_0^+(f)(x) \leq (\psi_0)^*_\nabla(f)(x) \lesssim \psi^{**}_{0,\,A,\,B}(f)(x).$$

We point out that the local tangential Peetre-type maximal function $\psi^{**}_{0,\,A,\,B}(f)$ was introduced by Rychkov [19].

We have obtained the local vertical and the local nontangential maximal function characterizations of $h^p_{\rho,\,N}(\omega)$ with $N \geq N_{p,\,\omega}$ as follows. Here and in what follows,

$$N_{p,\,\omega} \equiv \max\left\{\widetilde{N}_{p,\,\omega},\, N_0\right\}, \tag{2.12}$$

where $\widetilde{N}_{p,\,\omega}$ and N_0 are respectively as in Definition 2.2 and Theorem 3.1 of [32].

Theorem B. (see [32]) *Let $\omega \in A^{\rho,\,\infty}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, ψ_0 and $N_{p,\,\omega}$ be respectively as in* (2.8) *and* (2.12). *Then for any integer $N \geq N_{p,\,\omega}$, the following are equivalent:*

(i) $f \in h^p_{\rho,\,N}(\omega)$;

(ii) $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* $\psi_0^+(f) \in L^p_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$;

(iii) $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* $(\psi_0)^*_\nabla(f) \in L^p_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$;

(iv) $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_N(f) \in L^p_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$;

(v) $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^0_N(f) \in L^p_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$;

(vi) $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* $\mathcal{M}^0_N(f) \in L^p_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Moreover, for all $f \in h^p_{\rho,\,N}(\omega)$

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{h^p_{\rho,\,N}(\omega)} &\sim \left\|\psi_0^+(f)\right\|_{L^p_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|(\psi_0)^*_\nabla(f)\|_{L^p_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\sim \left\|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_N(f)\right\|_{L^p_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \left\|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^0_N(f)\right\|_{L^p_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \left\|\mathcal{M}^0_N(f)\right\|_{L^p_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)},\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where the implicit constants are independent of f.

Next, we introduce the weighted local atoms, via which, we give the definition of the weighted atomic local Hardy space.

Definition 2.4. *Let $\omega \in A^{\rho,\,\infty}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, q_ω be as in* (2.2). *A triplet $(p,q,s)_\omega$ is called to be admissible, if $p \in (0,1]$, $q \in (q_\omega, \infty]$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s \geq [n(q_\omega/p - 1)]$. A function a on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be a $(p,q,s)_\omega - atom$ if*

(i) $\operatorname{supp} a \subset Q(x,r)$ *and* $r \leq L_1\rho(x)$,

(ii) $\|a\|_{L^q_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq [\omega(Q)]^{1/q-1/p}$,

(iii) $\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x)x^\alpha dx = 0$ *for all* $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$ *with* $|\alpha| \leq s$, *when* $Q = Q(x,r)$, $r < L_2\rho(x)$,

where $L_1 = 4C_0(3\sqrt{n})^{k_0}$, $L_2 = 1/C_0^2(3\sqrt{n})^{k_0+1}$, *and* C_0, k_0 *are constant given in Lemma 2.1. Moreover, a function* $a(x)$ *on* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *is called a* $(p,\, q)_\omega$*-single-atom with* $q \in (q_\omega, \infty]$, *if*

$$\|a\|_{L^q_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le [\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)]^{1/q-1/p}.$$

Lemma 2.5. (see [32]) *Let* $\omega \in A_q^{\rho,\,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* a *be a* $(p,q,s)_\omega$*-atom, which satisfies* $\operatorname{supp} a \subset Q(x_0, r)$, *then there exists a constant* C *such that:*

$$\|a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C|Q|\omega(Q)^{-1/p}\Psi_\theta(Q).$$

Definition 2.5. *Let* $\omega \in A_\infty^{\rho,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, q_ω *be as in* (2.2), *and* $(p,q,s)_\omega$ *be admissible, The weighted atomic local Hardy space* $h_\rho^{p,\,q,\,s}(\omega)$ *is defined as the set of all* $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *satisfying that*

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i a_i$$

in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *where* $\{a_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ *are* $(p,\, q,\, s)_\omega$*-atoms with* $\operatorname{supp}(a_i) \subset Q_i$, a_0 *is a* $(p,\, q)_\omega$*-single-atom,* $\{\lambda_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \subset \mathbb{C}$. *Moreover, the quasi-norm of* $f \in h_\rho^{p,q,s}(\omega)$ *is defined by*

$$\|f\|_{h_\rho^{p,q,s}(\omega)} \equiv \inf\left\{\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}|\lambda_i|^p\right]^{1/p}\right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all the decompositions of f *as above.*

It is easy to see that if triplets $(p,q,s)_\omega$ and $(p,\bar{q},\bar{s})_\omega$ are admissible and satisfy $\bar{q} \le q$ and $\bar{s} \le s$, then $(p,q,s)_\omega$-atoms are $(p,\bar{q},\bar{s})_\omega$-atoms, which implies that $h_\rho^{p,q,s}(\omega) \subset h_\rho^{p,\bar{q},\bar{s}}(\omega)$ and the inclusion is continuous.

For $h_{\rho,N}^p(\omega)$ and $h_\rho^{p,q,s}(\omega)$, we have proved following theorem, and in virtue of the theorem, we can simplicity denote by $h_\rho^p(\omega)$ the weighted local Hardy space $h_{\rho,N}^p(\omega)$ when $N \ge N_{p,\,\omega}$.

Theorem C. (see [32]) *Let* $\omega \in A_\infty^{\rho,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, q_ω *and* $N_{p,\omega}$ *be respectively as in* (2.2) *and* (2.12). *If* $q \in (q_\omega, \infty]$, $p \in (0,1]$, *and integers* s *and* N *satisfy* $N \ge N_{p,\omega}$ *and* $N > s \ge [n(q_\omega/p - 1)]$, *then* $h_\rho^{p,q,s}(\omega) = h_{\rho,N}^p(\omega) = h_{\rho,N_{p,\omega}}^p(\omega)$ *with equivalent norms.*

Definition 2.6. *Let* $\omega \in A_\infty^{\rho,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* $(p,\, q,\, s)_\omega$ *be admissible as in Definition 2.4. Then* $h_{\rho,\,\mathrm{fin}}^{p,\,q,\,s}(\omega)$ *is defined to be the vector space of all finite linear combinations of* $(p,\, q,\, s)_\omega$*-atoms and a* $(p,\, q)_\omega$*-single-atom, and the norm of* f *in* $h_{\rho,\,\mathrm{fin}}^{p,\,q,\,s}(\omega)$ *is defined by*

$$\|f\|_{h_{\rho,\mathrm{fin}}^{p,q,s}(\omega)} \equiv \inf\Big\{\Big[\sum_{i=0}^{k}|\lambda_i|^p\Big]^{1/p} : f = \sum_{i=0}^{k}\lambda_i a_i,\ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+,\ \{\lambda_i\}_{i=0}^k \subset \mathbb{C},\ \{a_i\}_{i=1}^k \text{ are } (p,q,s)_\omega \text{ atoms, and } a_0 \text{ is a } (p,q)_\omega \text{ single atom}\Big\}.$$

As an application of finite atomic decompositions, we establish boundedness in $h^p_\rho(\omega)$ of quasi- Banach-valued sublinear operators.

As in [4], a quasi-Banach space space $\mathcal{B}$ is a vector space endowed with a quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ which is nonnegative, non-degenerate (i.e., $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}=0$ if and only if $f=0$), homogeneous, and obeys the quasi-triangle inequality, i.e., there exists a positive constant K no less than 1 such that for all $f,g\in\mathcal{B}$, $\|f+g\|_{\mathcal{B}}\le K(\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}+\|g\|_{\mathcal{B}})$.

Let $\beta\in(0,1]$. A quasi-Banach space $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ with the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta}$ is called a β-quasi-Banach space if $\|f+g\|^\beta_{\mathcal{B}_\beta}\le\|f\|^\beta_{\mathcal{B}_\beta}+\|g\|^\beta_{\mathcal{B}_\beta}$ for all $f,g\in\mathcal{B}_\beta$.

Notice that any Banach space is a 1-quasi-Banach space, and the quasi-Banach space l^β, $L^\beta_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $h^\beta_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\beta\in(0,1)$ are typical β-quasi-Banach spaces.

For any given β-quasi-Banach space $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ with $\beta\in(0,1]$ and a linear space $\mathcal{Y}$, an operator T from $\mathcal{Y}$ to $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ is said to be $\mathcal{B}_\beta$-sublinear if for any $f,g\in\mathcal{B}_\beta$ and $\lambda,\ \nu\in\mathbb{C}$,

$$\|T(\lambda f+\nu g)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta}\le\Big(|\lambda|^\beta\|T(f)\|^\beta_{\mathcal{B}_\beta}+|\nu|^\beta\|T(g)\|^\beta_{\mathcal{B}_\beta}\Big)^{1/\beta}$$

and $\|T(f)-T(g)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta}\le\|T(f-g)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta}$.

We remark that if T is linear, then it is $\mathcal{B}_\beta$-sublinear. Moreover, if $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ is a space of functions, and T is nonnegative and sublinear in the classical sense, then T is also $\mathcal{B}_\beta$-sublinear.

Theorem D. (see [32]) *Let $\omega\in A^{\rho,\infty}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), 0<p\le\beta\le1$, and $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ be a β-quasi-Banach space. Suppose $q\in(q_\omega,\infty)$ and $T:h^{p,q,s}_{\rho,\,\mathrm{fin}}(\omega)\to\mathcal{B}_\beta$ is a $\mathcal{B}_\beta$-sublinear operator such that*

$$S\equiv\sup\{\|T(a)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta}:\ a\text{ is a }(p,q,s)_\omega\text{ atom or }(p,q)_\omega\text{ single atom}\}<\infty.$$

Then there exists a unique bounded $\mathcal{B}_\beta$-sublinear operator $\widetilde{T}$ from $h^p_\rho(\omega)$ to $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ which extends T.

Finally, in [32], we have applied the atomic characterization of the weighted local Hardy spaces $h^1_\rho(\omega)$ with $A^{\rho,\theta}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ weights to establish atomic characterization of weighted Hardy space $H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)$ associated to Schrödinger operator with $A^{\rho,\theta}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ weights.

Theorem E. (see [32]) *Let $0\not\equiv V\in RH_{n/2}$ and $\omega\in A^{\rho,\,\infty}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $h^1_\rho(\omega)=H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)$ with equivalent norms, that is*

$$\|f\|_{h^1_\rho(\omega)}\sim\|f\|_{H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)}.$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The main purpose of this section is to give the proof of Theorem 1.1, and we begin with some useful lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. (see [16]) *If $\omega \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{(m,k)} \|R_j(\psi_{(m,k)}f) - \psi_{(m,k)}R_j(f)\|_{L^1(\omega)} \le C\|f\|_{L^1(\omega)}.$$

As in [23], we can obtain the following lemma. Its proof is similar to the Lemma 8.2 in [23], and we omit the details here.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\widehat{R}_j$ be as above, then*

(i) $\|\widehat{R}_j(f)\|_{L^p_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_{p,\omega}\|f\|_{L^p_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, *for* $1 < p < \infty$ *and* $\omega \in A_p^{\rho,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

(ii) $\|\widehat{R}_j(f)\|_{L^{1,\infty}_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_\omega\|f\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, *for* $\omega \in A_1^{\rho,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Now let us state the proof of Theorem 1.1.

The proof of Theorem 1.1:

$\|f\|_{H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)} \sim \|f\|_{h^1_\rho(\omega)}$ is obvious by Theorem E. We now prove $\|f\|_{h^1_\rho(\omega)} \sim \|f\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \|\widehat{R}_j(f)\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. We first assume that $\|f\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \|\widehat{R}_j f\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$, and here we will borrow some idea from [17]. Let $B_{(m,k)}$ be a ball as in Lemma 2.2, $\zeta_{a_1B_{(m,k)}}$ be a C_0^∞ nonnegative function supported in $2a_1B_{(m,k)}$ and $\zeta_{a_1B_{(m,k)}} = 1$ on $a_1B_{(m,k)}$, where $a_1 = 3C_0+1$. By Lemma 2.4, we can set $\bar{\omega} \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ so that $\bar{\omega} = \omega$ on $a_5B_{(m,k)}$, where a_5 is a constant independent of $B_{(m,k)}$ and will be given in the following proof. Taking $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_N^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by Theorem A, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big\| \sup_{0<2^{-l}<\rho(x)} |\varphi_l * f| \Big\|_{L^1_\omega(B_{(m,k)})} &\lesssim \Big\| \sup_{0<2^{-l}<\rho(x)} \left|\varphi_l * (f\zeta_{a_1B_{(m,k)}})\right| \Big\|_{L^1_{\bar{\omega}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim \left\| f\zeta_{a_1B_{(m,k)}} \right\|_{H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\bar{\omega})} \\
&\lesssim \left\| f\zeta_{a_1B_{(m,k)}} \right\|_{L^1_{\bar{\omega}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| R_j(f\zeta_{a_1B_{(m,k)}}) \right\|_{L^1_{\bar{\omega}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \qquad (3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us denote the integral kernel of the operator $(\partial/\partial x_j)\mathcal{L}^{-1/2}$ by $R_j(x,y)$, and let $\tilde{R}_j(x,y)$ be the kernel of the classical Riesz transform $\tilde{R}_j f = (\partial/\partial x_j)\Delta^{-1/2}f$. Then we can define

$$\overline{R}_j(f)(x) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} R_j(x,y)\eta\left(\frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\right) f(y)\,dy,$$

and we also have

$$\widehat{R}_j(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{R}_j(x,y)\eta\left(\frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\right) f(y)\,dy.$$

Let $a_2 = C_0(1+16a_1)+2a_1 < a_5$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|R_j(f\zeta_{a_1B_{(m,k)}}) - \zeta_{a_1B_{(m,k)}}\widehat{R}_j(f)\right\|_{L^1_{\bar\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\lesssim \left\|R_j(f\zeta_{a_1B_{(m,k)}}\chi_{a_2B_{(m,k)}}) - \zeta_{a_1B_{(m,k)}}R_j(f\chi_{a_2B_{(m,k)}})\right\|_{L^1_{\bar\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\quad + \left\|\zeta_{a_1B_{(m,k)}}R_j(f\chi_{a_2B_{(m,k)}}) - \zeta_{a_1B_{(m,k)}}\overline{R}_j(f\chi_{a_2B_{(m,k)}})\right\|_{L^1_{\bar\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\quad + \left\|\zeta_{a_1B_{(m,k)}}\overline{R}_j(f\chi_{a_2B_{(m,k)}}) - \zeta_{a_1B_{(m,k)}}\widehat{R}_j(f\chi_{a_2B_{(m,k)}})\right\|_{L^1_{\bar\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3.
\end{aligned}$$

For I_1, by the same method of the proof of Lemma 3.1 (Lemma 3.14 of [16]), we get

$$I_1 \lesssim \|f\|_{L^1(a_2B_{(m,k)},\bar\omega)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^1_\omega(a_2B_{(m,k)})}. \tag{3.2}$$

For I_2, by Lemma 3 of [1], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\lesssim \left\|\zeta_{a_1B_{(m,k)}}R_j(f\chi_{a_2B_{(m,k)}}) - \zeta_{a_1B_{(m,k)}}\overline{R}_j(f\chi_{a_2B_{(m,k)}})\right\|_{L^1_{\bar\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&= \left\|R_j(f\chi_{a_2B_{(m,k)}}) - \overline{R}_j(f\chi_{a_2B_{(m,k)}})\right\|_{L^1(2a_1B_{(m,k)},\bar\omega)}\\
&\lesssim \int_{2a_1B_{(m,k)}}\int_{|x-y|>\rho(x)/2} |R_j(x,y)|\left|1-\eta\left(\frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\right)\right| |f\chi_{a_2B_{(m,k)}}(y)|\,dy\,\bar\omega(x)\,dx\\
&\lesssim \int_{2a_1B_{(m,k)}}\int_{|x-y|>\rho(x)/2} \frac{|f\chi_{a_2B_{(m,k)}}(y)|}{|x-y|^n}\,dy\,\bar\omega(x)\,dx\\
&\lesssim \int_{2a_1B_{(m,k)}}\int_{|x-y|>\rho(x)/2} \frac{|f\chi_{a_2B_{(m,k)}}(y)|}{(\rho(x))^n}\,dy\,\bar\omega(x)\,dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $x \in 2a_1B_{(m,k)}$ and $y \in a_2B_{(m,k)}$, we have $\rho(x) \sim \rho(x_{(m,k)}) \sim \rho(y)$, and there is a constant $a_3 > 1$ independent of $B_{(m,k)}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\lesssim \int_{a_2B_{(m,k)}}\left(\int_{|x-y|<a_3\rho(y)} \frac{\bar\omega(x)}{(\rho(y))^n}\,dx\right)|f\chi_{a_2B_{(m,k)}}(y)|\,dy\\
&\lesssim \int_{a_2B_{(m,k)}} |f(y)|\bar\omega(y)\,dy\\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{L^1_\omega(a_2B_{(m,k)})}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

We now estimate I_3. For $x \in 2a_1B_{(m,k)}$ and $y \in a_2B_{(m,k)}$, we have $\rho(x) \sim \rho(x_{(m,k)}) \sim \rho(y)$, and there exists a constant $a_4 > 1$ independent of $B_{(m,k)}$ such that $\rho(x) \le a_4\rho(y)$. On the other hand, if $V \in RH_n(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, which depends only on n and the constant C in (2.1), such that $V \in RH_{n+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [13]). Thus, according to Lemma 3 of [1],

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_3 &\lesssim \int_{2a_1B_{(m,k)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |R_j(x,y)-\widetilde{R}_j(x,y)| \left|\eta\left(\frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\right)\right| |f\chi_{a_2B_{(m,k)}}(y)|\,dy\,\bar{\omega}(x)\,dx \\
&\lesssim \int_{2a_1B_{(m,k)}} \int_{|x-y|<\rho(x)} |R_j(x,y)-\widetilde{R}_j(x,y)||f\chi_{a_2B_{(m,k)}}(y)|\,dy\,\bar{\omega}(x)\,dx \\
&\lesssim \int_{2a_1B_{(m,k)}} \int_{|x-y|<\rho(x)} \frac{|f\chi_{a_2B_{(m,k)}}(y)|}{|x-y|^n}\left(\frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\right)^{2-n/(n+\varepsilon)} dy\,\bar{\omega}(x)\,dx \\
&\lesssim \int_{a_2B_{(m,k)}} \left(\int_{|x-y|<a_4\rho(y)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^n}\left(\frac{|x-y|}{\rho(y)}\right)^{2-n/(n+\varepsilon)} \bar{\omega}(x)\,dx\right) |f(y)|\,dy.
\end{aligned}
$$

For the inner integral, there exists a constant a_5 independent of $B_{(m,k)}$ such that $a_5 > a_2$ and $B(y, \max\{a_3,\, 2a_4\}\rho(y)) \subset a_5B_{(m,k)}$ for all $y \in a_2B_{(m,k)}$, then by the properties of A_1 weights, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|x-y|<a_4\rho(y)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^n}\left(\frac{|x-y|}{\rho(y)}\right)^{2-n/(n+\varepsilon)} \bar{\omega}(x)\,dx \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \int_{|x-y|\sim 2^{-k}\rho(y)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^n}\left(\frac{|x-y|}{\rho(y)}\right)^{2-n/(n+\varepsilon)} \bar{\omega}(x)\,dx \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \int_{|x-y|\sim 2^{-k}\rho(y)} \frac{(2^{-k})^{2-n/(n+\varepsilon)}}{|2^{-k}\rho(y)|^n}\bar{\omega}(x)\,dx \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} (2^{-k})^{2-n/(n+\varepsilon)} \int_{|x-y|\le 2^{-k}\rho(y)} \frac{\bar{\omega}(x)}{|2^{-k}\rho(y)|^n}\,dx \\
&\lesssim \bar{\omega}(y),
\end{aligned}
$$

where k_0 satisfies $2^{-k_0-1} < a_5 \le 2^{-k_0}$. Hence, we obtain

$$
I_3 \lesssim \int_{a_2B_{(m,k)}} |f(y)|\bar{\omega}(y)\,dy \lesssim \|f\|_{L^1_\omega(a_2B_{(m,k)})}. \tag{3.4}
$$

Combining (3.2)-(3.5), we get

$$
\Big\| \sup_{0<2^{-l}<\rho(x)} |\varphi_l * f| \Big\|_{L^1_\omega(B_{(m,k)})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^1_\omega(a_2B_{(m,k)})} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|\widehat{R}_j(f)\|_{L^1_\omega(2a_1B_{(m,k)})}.
$$

Therefore, by Theorem B and Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{h^1_\rho(\omega)} &\lesssim \sum_{(m,k)} \Big\| \sup_{0<2^{-l}<\rho(x)} |\varphi_l * f| \Big\|_{L^1_\omega(B_{(m,k)})} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{(m,k)} \Big(\|f\|_{L^1_\omega(a_2B_{(m,k)})} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|\widehat{R}_j(f)\|_{L^1_\omega(2a_1B_{(m,k)})} \Big) \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|\widehat{R}_j(f)\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to prove the converse inequality, by Theorem D, it suffices to show that for all j and any $(1,2,s)_\omega$-atom or $(1,2)_\omega$-single-atom a,

$$\|\widehat{R}_j(a)\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 1.$$

If a is a single atom, by Hölder inequality and $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of $\widehat{R}_j$, we have

$$\|\widehat{R}_j(a)\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\widehat{R}_j(a)\|_{L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)}\,\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)^{1/2} \lesssim \|a\|_{L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)}\,\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)^{1/2} \lesssim 1.$$

Next we assume that a is a $(1,2,s)_\omega$-atom and $\operatorname{supp} a \subset Q(x_0,r)$ with $r \le L_1\rho(x_0)$, then $\rho(x) \le C_0^2 2^{k_0}(1+L_1)\rho(x_0)$ for any x satisfying $|x-y| < \rho(x)$ and $y \in Q(x_0,r)$.

We first consider the atom a with $L_2\rho(x_0) \le r \le L_1\rho(x_0)$. Taking $b_1 = 1 + C_0^2 2^{k_0}(1+L_1)/L_2$, then $\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{R}_j(a)) \subset Q(x_0,b_1 r)$, thus, by Hölder inequality, Lemma 2.4 and $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of $\widehat{R}_j$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\widehat{R}_j(a)\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \|\widehat{R}_j(a)\|_{L^1_\omega(Q(x_0,b_1r))} \lesssim \|a\|_{L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)}\,\omega(Q(x_0,b_1r))^{1/2}\\ &\lesssim \|a\|_{L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)}\,\omega(Q(x_0,r))^{1/2} \lesssim 1.\end{aligned}$$

If $r < L_2\rho(x_0)$, then $\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{R}_j(a)) \subset Q(x_0,b_2\rho(x_0))$, where $b_2 = C_0^2 2^{k_0}(1+L_1)+L_2$. Applying the Hölder inequality, Lemma 2.4 and $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of $\widehat{R}_j$ give us that

$$\|\widehat{R}_j(a)\|_{L^1_\omega(Q(x_0,2r))} \lesssim \|a\|_{L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)}\,\omega(Q(x_0,r))^{1/2} \lesssim 1.$$

Moreover, for $x \in Q(x_0,b_2\rho(x_0))\backslash Q(x_0,2r)$, there is a constant $b_3 > 1$ such that $b_3^{-1}\rho(x_0) \le \rho(x) \le b_3\rho(x_0)$, and by the vanishing moment of a, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\widehat{R}_j(a)(x)\right| &\lesssim \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{x_j-y_j}{|x-y|^{n+1}}\left[\eta\left(\frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\right)-1\right]a(y)\,dy\right|\\ &\quad+\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left[\frac{x_j-y_j}{|x-y|^{n+1}}-\frac{x_j-(x_0)_j}{|x-x_0|^{n+1}}\right]a(y)\,dy\right|\\ &\lesssim \int_{|x-y|\ge\rho(x_0)/2b_3}\frac{|a(y)|}{|x-y|^n}\,dy+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{|x_0-y|}{|x-x_0|^{n+1}}|a(y)|\,dy\\ &\lesssim \left[\frac{1}{(\rho(x_0))^n}+\frac{r}{|x-x_0|^{n+1}}\right]\|a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)},\end{aligned}$$

where in the penultimate inequality, we use the fact that if $|x-y| < \rho(x_0)/2b_2$, then $\eta(|x-y|/\rho(x)) = 1$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{Q(x_0,2r)^\complement}\left|\widehat{R}_j(a)(x)\right|\omega(x)\,dx &= \int_{Q(x_0,b_2\rho(x_0))\backslash Q(x_0,2r)}\left|\widehat{R}_j(a)(x)\right|\omega(x)\,dx\\ &\lesssim \|a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\int_{Q(x_0,b_2\rho(x_0))\backslash Q(x_0,2r)}\frac{\omega(x)}{(\rho(x_0))^n}\,dx\\ &\quad+\|a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\int_{Q(x_0,b_2\rho(x_0))\backslash Q(x_0,2r)}\frac{r\omega(x)}{|x-x_0|^{n+1}}\,dx\\ &\equiv I+II.\end{aligned}$$

For I, since $\operatorname{supp} a \subset Q_0 \equiv Q(x_0, r) \subset Q(x_0, \rho(x_0)) \equiv Q$, by Lemma 2.4 and 2.5, we have

$$I \lesssim \|a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \frac{\omega(Q(x_0, b_2\rho(x_0)))}{(\rho(x_0))^n} \lesssim \frac{|Q|}{\omega(Q)} \frac{\omega(Q(x_0, b_2\rho(x_0)))}{(\rho(x_0))^n} \lesssim 1.$$

For II, by Lemma 2.4 and 2.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} II &\lesssim \|a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{2r \le |x-x_0| \le b_2\rho(x_0)} \frac{r\omega(x)}{|x-x_0|^{n+1}}\, dx \\ &\lesssim \|a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sum_{j=2}^{j_0} \int_{|x-x_0| \sim 2^j r} \frac{r\omega(x)}{|x-x_0|^{n+1}}\, dx \\ &\lesssim \frac{|Q_0|}{\omega(Q_0)} \sum_{j=2}^{j_0} 2^{-k} \frac{\omega(2^j Q_0)}{|2^k Q_0|} \lesssim 1, \end{aligned}$$

where j_0 is an integer such that $2^{j_0-1} r < b_2\rho(x_0) \le 2^{j_0} r$. Thus, for $r < L_2\rho(x_0)$ we obtain

$$\|\widehat{R}_j(a)\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 1.$$

Finally, we prove $\|f\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \|R_j(f)\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|f\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \|\widehat{R}_j(f)\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Indeed, we only need to show that

$$\|\widehat{R}_j(f) - R_j(f)\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

In fact, note that $|x-y| \le \rho(x)$, then there exists a constant $\beta > 0$ such that $\rho(y)/\beta \le \rho(x) \le \beta\rho(y)$ by Lemma 2.1. Set $\omega \in A_1^{\rho,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\theta > 0$. Hence, by the properties of $A_1^{\rho,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{R}_j(f) - R_j(f)\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |R_j(x,y) - \widetilde{R}_j(x,y)| \eta\left(\frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\right) |f(y)| dy \omega(x) dx \\ &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|x-y|<\rho(y)/\beta} |R_j(x,y) - \widetilde{R}_j(x,y)| \omega(x) dx |f(y)| dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\rho(y)/\beta \le |x-y| < \beta\rho(y)} |\widetilde{R}_j(x,y)| \omega(x) dx |f(y)| dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\rho(y)/\beta \le |x-y|} |R_j(x,y)| \omega(x) dx |f(y)| dy \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\omega(Q(y,\rho(y)))}{(\rho(y))^n} |f(y)| dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-kN} |2^k\rho(y)|^{-n} \int_{|x-y| \le 2^k\rho(y)/\beta} \omega(x) dx |f(y)| dy \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(N-(k_0+1)\theta)} \frac{\omega(Q(y,\rho(y)))}{(\rho(y))^n} |f(y)| dy \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

if taking $N > (k_0 + 1)\theta + 1$.

Therefore, the proof is complete. □

Acknowledgements The research is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant #11271024.

References

[1] B. Bongioanni, E. Harboure, O. Salinas, *Riesz transforms related to Schrödinger operators acting on BMO type spaces,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **357** (2009), 115-131.

[2] B. Bongioanni, E. Harboure, O. Salinas, *Classes of weights related to Schrödinger operators,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **373** (2011), 563-579.

[3] B. Bongioanni, E. Harboure, O. Salinas, *Commutators of Riesz transforms related to Schrödinger operators,* J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **17** (2011), 115-134.

[4] M. Bownik, B. Li, D. Yang and Y. Zhou, *Weighted anisotropic Hardy spaces and their applications in boundedness of sublinear operators,* Indiana Univ. Math. J. **57** (2008), 3065-3100.

[5] J. Duoandikoetxea, *Fourier Analysis,* Grad. Stud. Math., vol. 29, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.

[6] J. Dziubański, G. Garrigós, T. Martínez, J. L. Torrea and J. Zienkiewicz, *BMO spaces related to Schrödinger operators with potentials satisfying a reverse Höder inequality,* Math. Z. **249** (2005), 329-356.

[7] J. Dziubański and J. Zienkiewicz, *Hardy space H^1 associated to Schrödinger operator with potential satisfying reverse Hölder inequality,* Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **15** (2) (1999), 279-296.

[8] J. Dziubański and J. Zienkiewicz, *H^p spaces for Schrödinger operators,* Fourier Analysis and Related Topics, Banach Center Publications. **56** (2002), 45-53.

[9] J. Dziubański and J. Zienkiewicz, *H^p spaces associated with Schrödinger operators with potentials from reverse Hölder classes,* Colloq. Math. **98** (2003), 5-38.

[10] C. Fefferman and E. Stein, *H^p spaces of several variables,* Acta. Math. **129** (1972), no. 3-4, 137-193.

[11] J. García-Cuerva, *Weighted H^p spaces,* Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) **162** (1979), 63pp.

[12] J. García-Cuerva and J. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted norm inequalities and related topics,* North-Holland, Amsterdam. 1985.

[13] F. Gehring, *The L^p-integrability of the partial derivatives of a quasi-conformal mapping,* Acta Math. **130** (1973), 265-277.

[14] D. Goldberg, *A local version of real Hardy spaces,* Duke Math. J. **46** (1979), 27-42.

[15] L. Grafakos, *Classical and Modern Fourier Analysis,* Pearson Edu. Inc., New Jersey, 2004.

[16] H. Liu, L. Tang and H. Zhu, *Weighted Hardy spaces and BMO spaces associated with Schrödinger operators,* Math. Nachr. **285** (2012), 2173-2207.

[17] G. Mauceri, M. Picardello and F. Ricci, *A Hardy space associated with twisted convolution,* Adv. Math. **39** (1981), 270-288.

[18] B. Muckenhoupt, *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal functions,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **165** (1972), 207-226.

[19] V. S. Rychkov, *Littlewood-Paley theory and function spaces with A_p^{loc} weights,* Math. Nachr. **224** (2001), 145-180.

[20] Z. Shen, *L^p estimates for Schrödinger operators with certain potentials,* Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **45** (2) (1995), 513-546.

[21] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals,* Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1993.

[22] J. Stromberg and A. Torchinsky, *Weighted Hardy spaces,* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

[23] L. Tang, *Weighted local Hardy spaces and their applications,* Illinois J. Math. **56**(2012), 453-495.

[24] L. Tang, *Weighted norm inequalities for pseudo-differential operators with smooth symbols and their commutators,* J. Funct. Anal. **261**(2012), 1602-1629.

[25] L. Tang, *Weighted norm inequalities for Schrödinger type operators,* to be appeared in Forum Math. DOI 10.1515/forum-2013-0070(arXiv:1109.0099 v1).

[26] L. Tang, *Extrapolation from $A_\infty^{\rho,\infty}$, vector-valued inequalities and applications in the Schrödinger settings,* to be appeared in Ark. Mat. (arXiv:1109.0101v1).

[27] D. Yang, D. Yang and Y. Zhou, *Endpoint properties of localized Riesz transforms and fractional integrals associated to Schrödinger operators,* Potential Anal. **30** (2009), 271-300.

[28] D. Yang and Y. Zhou, *Boundedness of sublinear operators in Hardy spaces on RD-spaces via atoms,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **339** (2008), 622-635.

[29] D. Yang and Y. Zhou, *Localized Hardy spaces H^1 related to admissible functions on RD-spaces and applications to Schrödinger operators,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), 1197-1239.

[30] J. Zhong, *Harmonic analysis for some Schrödinger type operators,* Ph. D. Thesis. Princeton University, 1993.

[31] H. Zhu and H. Liu, *Weighted estimates for bilinear operators,* J. Funct. Spaces Appl., vol. 2014, Article ID 797956, 10 pages, 2014.

[32] H. Zhu and L. Tang, *Weighted local Hardy spaces associated to Schrödinger operators,* arXiv:1403.7641.

Beijing International Studies University,
Beijing, 100024,
Peoples Republic of China

E-mail address: zhuhua@pku.edu.cn